Let's recall two fundamental and related concepts: **polynomial-time reducibility** and **NP-completeness**.

• A problem *L* is **polynomial-time reducible** to a problem *R* when we can solve any instance of *L* in polynomial time by querying an oracle of *R* that correctly solves any instance of *R*.

More formally, there exists a Turing Machine *M* that works in polynomial time and outputs f(w) for any input *w*, such that $w \in L$ if and only if $f(w) \in R$.

- A problem *L* is *NP-complete* when:
 - It is in NP. What does this mean again?
 - Every other problem *P* in NP can be *reduced to L* in polynomial time.

Today's exercises will highlight **self-reducibility**: the notion that finding a solution can be reduced to deciding if a solution exists.

- 1. *Warm-up 1*. Consider the VERTEXCOVER problem: Given an undirected graph *G*, a *vertex cover* is a set of vertices where every edge touches some vertex in the set. In terms of languages and Turing Machines, phrase the following problems:
 - (a) Deciding if a graph has a vertex cover of size *k*. This is an example of a *decision problem*.
 - (b) Finding a vertex cover of size *k* in a graph *G* (if such a cover exists). This is an example of a *search problem*.
- 2. **Warm-up 2.** What do you think is more difficult: reducing a decision problem to a search problem or the opposite (reducing a search problem to a decision problem)?
- 3. **Are you satisfied now?** Given a Boolean formula, the **SAT** problem asks whether there is an assignment to the variables such that the formula is satisfied. The Cook-Levin Theorem tells us that SAT is NP-complete.

Reduce the problem of finding a valid assignment to the problem of deciding whether a valid assignment exists.

- 4. **Cover-up.** Show that the problem of finding a vertex cover of size *k* in a graph *G* is poly-time reducible to the problem of deciding whether such a vertex cover exists in *G*.
- 5. By Cook-Levin theorem, the SAT problem is NP-complete. The 3-SAT problem requires that each clause consists of at most three literals. Show that SAT reduces to the 3-SAT problem in polynomial time. *This proves that* 3-SAT *is NP-hard*.
- 6. *To think about later:* Reduce 3-SAT to the VERTEXCOVER problem in polynomial time. *This proves that* VERTEXCOVER *is also NP-hard.*